



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/680,371	10/05/2000	Mari Ichimura	09792909-4647	8232	
7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER		
David R. Metzger			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. Box #061080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606-1080			1621 DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No	Applicant(s)				
			ICHIMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/680,371						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Brian J. Davi		1621	iress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non nce except fo	r formal matters, pro		merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 31-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-42 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 October 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	wn from consion required accept drawing(s) be stion is required	uirement. ted or b)⊡ objected held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been prity documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal S Other:	oate)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/680,371

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

The examiner acknowledges for the record that applicant has established that the priority document has been submitted.

Claim Objections Withdrawn

The objection to claims 14-30, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

Claim Objections Maintained

The objection to claims 1-13, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. There remain several instances of claims not ending in a period. The claim must end with a period even if the last "text" appearing in the claim is a structure.

Claim Objections, NEW

Claims 1-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: there are instances of incorrect spelling, for instance, in the final paragraph of claim 2: "wh re."

Other instances are similar. Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant's help is respectfully requested in correcting any other minor spelling and/or grammatical errors present in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,371

Art Unit: 1621

Double Patenting Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-30, under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claim 5 of US 6,242,116, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's submission of a Terminal Disclaimer. Claims 14-30 have been canceled.

The rejection of claims 14-30, under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claim 5 of US 6,440,585, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels claims 14-30.

Double Patenting Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-13, under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, over claim 5 of US 6,440,585, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Although applicant states that a Terminal Disclaimer has been submitted, no such disclaimer is of record in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 09/680,371

Art Unit: 1621

applicant regards as the invention. There are instances of inconsistent and therefore unclear changes made to the claims by applicant's amendment. For instance, in claim 2, it is unclear if the aryl group of formula 9 has remains within the metes and bounds of the claim, since the claim teaches "formula (9)," yet the formula is now undefined since the amendment deletes its structure. This inconsistency propagates to claim 3, where substituent R⁵⁴ now has no antecedent basis. Other instances are similar.

Applicant's help is respectfully requested in correcting any other inconsistencies and errors introduced into the claims by the amendment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/680,371

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINES

Brian J. Davis June 14, 2004